# Acyclic, star and injective colouring of *H*-free graphs

**Jan Bok**<sup>1</sup>, Nikola Jedličková<sup>1</sup>, Barnaby Martin<sup>2</sup>, Daniël Paulusma<sup>2</sup>, Siani Smith<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
<sup>2</sup> Durham University, Durham, UK

22th September 2020

3rd CroCoDays, Zagreb, Croatia

## Definition

An acyclic k-colouring of a graph G is a proper k-colouring such that the graph induced by the vertices of any two colour classes is a forest.

In other words, at least 3 different colours are assigned to the vertices of any cycle.



## Definition

A star k-colouring of a graph G is a proper k-colouring such that the union of any two colour classes induces a star forest.

In other words any cycle and any path on four vertices is assigned at least 3 different colours.



## Definition

An injective k-colouring of a graph G is a proper k-colouring such that the union of any two colour classes induces a disjoint union of edges and vertices.

In other words any path on 3 vertices is assigned 3 different colours.



For a given graph G and its colourings:

injective k-colourings  $\subseteq$  star k-colourings  $\subseteq$  acyclic k-colourings.

We distinguish whether the number of colours is a part of the input or not.

Problem: ACYCLIC (STAR/INJECTIVE) k-COLOURING
Input: A graph G.
Question: Does there exist an acyclic (star/injective) colouring of G with at most k colours?

Problem: ACYCLIC (STAR/INJECTIVE) COLOURING
Input: A graph G and an integer k.
Question: Does there exist an acyclic (star/injective) colouring of G with at most k colours?

# H-free graphs

## Definition

A graph G is said to be H-free for some graph H if G has no induced subgraph isomorphic to H.



Figure: An example of a triangle-free (or  $K_3$ -free) graph.

# Classical colouring problem for H-free graphs

- The problem was extensively studied for COLOURING and *k*-COLOURING problems.
- For *k*-COLOURING, there is not a complete complexity dichotomy yet.
- For COLOURING, there is a dichotomy.

Theorem (Král' et al. (2001))

The problem COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable for H-free graphs if H is an induced subgraph of  $P_4$  or  $P_3 + P_1$  and NP-complete otherwise.

Based on our joint paper accepted to ESA 2020 conference and its journal version (about to be submitted).

- In a similar way, we combine new and known results to show that each of the three problems are NP-complete for claw-free graphs and C<sub>p</sub>-free graphs when k is fixed.
- We then study the remaining open cases to obtain complete complexity dichotomies for each problem when k is fixed and almost complete complexity classifications when k is part of the input.

Let H be a graph. For the class of H-free graphs it holds that:

- (i) ACYCLIC COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable if H ⊆<sub>i</sub> P<sub>4</sub> and NP-complete if H is not a forest or H ⊇<sub>i</sub> 19P<sub>1</sub>, 3P<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>11</sub> or 2P<sub>5</sub>;
- (ii) For every  $k \ge 3$ , ACYCLIC k-COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable if H is a linear forest and NP-complete otherwise.

Let H be a graph. For the class of H-free graphs it holds that:

- (i) STAR COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable if H ⊆<sub>i</sub> P<sub>4</sub> and NP-complete for any H ≠ 2P<sub>2</sub>.
- (ii) For every  $k \ge 3$ , STAR k-COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable if H is a linear forest and NP-complete otherwise.

Let H be a graph. For the class of H-free graphs it holds that:

- (i) INJECTIVE COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable if H ⊆<sub>i</sub> P<sub>4</sub> or H ⊆<sub>i</sub> P<sub>1</sub> + P<sub>3</sub> or H ⊆<sub>i</sub> 3P<sub>1</sub> + P<sub>2</sub> and NP-complete if H is not a forest or 2P<sub>2</sub> ⊆<sub>i</sub> H or 6P<sub>1</sub> ⊆<sub>i</sub> H.
- (ii) For every  $k \ge 4$ , INJECTIVE k-COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable if H is a linear forest and NP-complete otherwise.

Let H be a graph. For the class of H-free graphs it holds that:

- (i) INJECTIVE COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable if H ⊆<sub>i</sub> P<sub>4</sub> or H ⊆<sub>i</sub> P<sub>1</sub> + P<sub>3</sub> or H ⊆<sub>i</sub> 3P<sub>1</sub> + P<sub>2</sub> and NP-complete if H is not a forest or 2P<sub>2</sub> ⊆<sub>i</sub> H or 6P<sub>1</sub> ⊆<sub>i</sub> H.
- (ii) For every  $k \ge 4$ , INJECTIVE k-COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable if H is a linear forest and NP-complete otherwise.

I will further focus in more detail on acyclic colouring.

Acyclic colouring of *H*-free graphs

# Girth

#### Lemma

For every  $g \ge 3$ , ACYCLIC k-COLOURING is NP-complete for graphs of girth at least g.

- We reduce from ACYCLIC *k*-COLOURING, which is known to be NP-complete in general.
- We replace every edge in G by a suitable acyclically k-colourable graph F of high girth with F + xy not being an acyclically k-colourable graph.
- Let G be an instance of ACYCLIC k-COLOURING. We pick an edge  $uv \in E(G)$ . In G uv we "glue" F by identifying u with x and y with v. We then prove that G has an acyclic k-colouring if and only if G' has an acyclic k-colouring.
- We repeat the construction for each edge of *G* to get a graph with high girth.
- Since we do not know how to construct *F* (there is just an existential result), we have to carefully distinguish between all the possible cases of acyclic colouring of *F*.

## Claws

#### Lemma

For every  $k \geq 3$ , ACYCLIC k-COLOURING is NP-complete for line graphs.

- We shall make use of a result by Alon and Zaks.
- They have proved that deciding if a graph has an acyclic 3-edge colouring is NP-complete.
- We generalise this to acyclic k-edge colouring.



• Since we can translate edge colouring of a graph into vertex colouring of its line graph and  $K_{1,3}$  is one of the forbidden induced subgraphs of the class of line graphs, we get the lemma.

### Lemma

ACYCLIC COLOURING is NP-complete for (19P<sub>1</sub>, 3P<sub>3</sub>, 2P<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>11</sub>)-free graphs.

• We reduce from 3-COLOURING with maximum degree 4 which is known to be NP-complete by Garey and Johnson.

# A (general) polynomial result

## Definition (BB-condition)

We say that a colouring c of a graph G satisfies the balance biclique condition (BB-condition) if c uses at least k + 1 colours to colour G, where k is the order of a largest biclique that is contained in G as a (not necessarily induced) subgraph.

#### Theorem

Let H be a linear forest, and let  $\pi$  be a colouring property that can be expressed in MSO<sub>2</sub>, such that every colouring with property  $\pi$  satisfies the BB-condition. Then, for every integer  $k \ge 1$ , k-COLOURING( $\pi$ ) is linear-time solvable for H-free graphs.

# A (general) polynomial result

## Definition (BB-condition)

We say that a colouring c of a graph G satisfies the balance biclique condition (BB-condition) if c uses at least k + 1 colours to colour G, where k is the order of a largest biclique that is contained in G as a (not necessarily induced) subgraph.

#### Theorem

Let H be a linear forest, and let  $\pi$  be a colouring property that can be expressed in  $MSO_2$ , such that every colouring with property  $\pi$  satisfies the BB-condition. Then, for every integer  $k \ge 1$ , k-COLOURING( $\pi$ ) is linear-time solvable for H-free graphs.

Long story short...

### Corollary

Let H be a linear forest. For every  $k \ge 1$ , ACYCLIC k-COLOURING, STAR k-COLOURING and INJECTIVE k-COLOURING are polynomial-time solvable for H-free graphs.

For every  $k \ge 3$ , ACYCLIC k-COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable if H is a linear forest and NP-complete otherwise.

- First suppose that H contains an induced cycle  $C_p$  as its largest induced cycle.
  - If p = 3, then we use the result of Coleman and Cai, who proved that for every k ≥ 3, ACYCLIC k-COLOURING is NP-complete for bipartite graphs.
  - If  $p \ge 4$ , set g = p + 1 and use the lemma on girth.
- Now assume *H* has no cycle so *H* is a forest. If *H* has a vertex of degree at least 3, then *H* has an induced  $K_{1,3}$ . As every line graph is  $K_{1,3}$ -free, we can use the lemma on claws.
- Otherwise H is a linear forest and we use the general polynomial result.

# Putting it together: k part of the input

### Theorem

For the class of H-free graphs it holds that ACYCLIC COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable if  $H \subseteq_i P_4$  and NP-complete if H is not a forest or  $H \supseteq_i 19P_1, 3P_3, 2P_5$  or  $P_{11}$ 

• Thanks to the previous theorem on ACYCLIC *k*-COLOURING, we may assume that *H* is a linear forest.

For the class of H-free graphs it holds that ACYCLIC COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable if  $H \subseteq_i P_4$  and NP-complete if H is not a forest or  $H \supseteq_i 19P_1, 3P_3, 2P_5$  or  $P_{11}$ 

- Thanks to the previous theorem on ACYCLIC *k*-COLOURING, we may assume that *H* is a linear forest.
- If H ⊆<sub>i</sub> P<sub>4</sub>, then we use the result of Lyons that states that ACYCLIC COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable for P<sub>4</sub>-free graphs (cographs).

For the class of H-free graphs it holds that ACYCLIC COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable if  $H \subseteq_i P_4$  and NP-complete if H is not a forest or  $H \supseteq_i 19P_1, 3P_3, 2P_5$  or  $P_{11}$ 

- Thanks to the previous theorem on ACYCLIC *k*-COLOURING, we may assume that *H* is a linear forest.
- If H ⊆<sub>i</sub> P<sub>4</sub>, then we use the result of Lyons that states that ACYCLIC COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable for P<sub>4</sub>-free graphs (cographs).
- If  $H \supseteq_i 19P_1, 3P_3, 2P_5$  or  $P_{11}$ , then we use the lemma on linear forests.

Open problems

There are still many gaps to fill.

- Determine the complexity of ACYCLIC COLOURING for *H*-free graphs where *H* is a linear forest with  $19P_1$ ,  $3P_3$ ,  $P_{11}$ , or  $2P_5$  not being an induced subgraph of *H*.
- For every  $g \ge 4$ , determine the complexity of INJECTIVE COLOURING and INJECTIVE k-COLOURING ( $k \ge 4$ ) for graphs of girth at least g.
- For every g ≥ 4, determine the complexity of STAR k-COLOURING (k ≥ 4) for graphs of girth at least g.
- Determine the complexity of STAR COLOURING for  $2P_2$ -free graphs.
- $\bullet$  Determine the complexity of  $\ensuremath{\operatorname{STAR}}$  COLOURING for split graphs.

We initiated a systematic complexity study and similar to the study of COLOURING we use the class of *H*-free graphs.

- 1. We give almost complete classifications for the computational complexity of ACYCLIC COLOURING, STAR COLOURING and INJECTIVE COLOURING for *H*-free graphs.
- 2. If the number of colours k is fixed, that is, not part of the input, we give full complexity classifications for each of the three problems for H-free graphs.

We conclude that for fixed k the three problems behave in the same way, but this is no longer true if k is part of the input.

We initiated a systematic complexity study and similar to the study of COLOURING we use the class of *H*-free graphs.

- 1. We give almost complete classifications for the computational complexity of ACYCLIC COLOURING, STAR COLOURING and INJECTIVE COLOURING for *H*-free graphs.
- 2. If the number of colours k is fixed, that is, not part of the input, we give full complexity classifications for each of the three problems for H-free graphs.

We conclude that for fixed k the three problems behave in the same way, but this is no longer true if k is part of the input.

https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.09415 bok@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz

We initiated a systematic complexity study and similar to the study of COLOURING we use the class of *H*-free graphs.

- 1. We give almost complete classifications for the computational complexity of ACYCLIC COLOURING, STAR COLOURING and INJECTIVE COLOURING for *H*-free graphs.
- 2. If the number of colours k is fixed, that is, not part of the input, we give full complexity classifications for each of the three problems for H-free graphs.

We conclude that for fixed k the three problems behave in the same way, but this is no longer true if k is part of the input.

https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.09415 bok@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz

Thank you!